

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,073	05/14/2001	David Tucker	37357.0100	8589	
26936	7590 12/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SHOEMAKER AND MATTARE, LTD 10 POST OFFICE ROAD - SUITE 110			HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E		
	ING, MD 20910		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2134		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	W				
Office Action Summary		09/855,	,073	TUCKER ET AL.					
		Examin	er	Art Unit					
			v Heneghan	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHOR' THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (- If the period - If NO period - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this commend of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 of for reply is specified above, the maximum state reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months at tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication.)) days, a reply within the s tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a natatatory minimum of thirt I will expire SIX (6) MON Ipplication to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commodate of this commodate of the commo	nunication.				
Status									
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>14 May 2001</i> .							
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)□ Cla 7)□ Cla	 Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-57 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application	Papers			•	•				
10)□ The App Rep	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are: plicant may not request that any objected to lacement drawing sheet(s) including to oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or tion to the drawing(s the correction is requ) be held in abeyar uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	documents have be documents have be of the priority documents all Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in A ments have been kule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of 3) Information	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	.52)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 55 and 56 are objected to because of the following informalities: the term "said first call" lacks antecedent basis. It is being presumed that these claims are dependent upon claim 54, rather than claim 53. Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, 12, 21-23, 43-45, and 48-53, drawn to the distribution of encrypted modified compiled code for provisional use, classified in class 717, subclass 140.
 - II. Claims 11 and 17-20, drawn to the sequential execution of encrypted code, classified in class 713, subclass 190.
 - III. Claims 13, 24-26, and 54-56, drawn to the modification of jump instructions in computer code, classified in class 711, subclass 200.
 - IV. Claims 14, 15, and 27-35, drawn to the modification of external computer inputs, classified in class 710, subclass 72.
 - V. Claims 16 and 36-42, drawn to a graphical keypad interface, classified in class 345, subclass 746.

Art Unit: 2134

VI. Claims 46 and 47, drawn to the retrieval of a remotely stored software version, classified in class 707, subclass 10.

VII. Claim 57, drawn to a system for protecting code being executed, classified in class 713, subclass 200.

Inventions II, III, IV and V are related in invention I as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as the distribution of a "try-before-you-buy" software package. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III, IV, and V are related to invention II as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as the execution of secure software from an insecure location (such as network management software on an active network file server). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and V are related to invention III as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a software linker. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2134

Inventions IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as a computer simulation of an enigma machine. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I, II, III, IV, V, and VII are related invention VI as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has separate utility such as the network distribution of a software package having a challenge-response authentication algorithm. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I, II, III, IV and V are related to invention VII as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of each subcombination as claimed because the claim comprises several groups of limitations that are also wholly recited as independent claims. The subcombinations have separate utilities as stated above.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 5

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 8:30 AM 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached at (571) 272-3838.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: 2134

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEH

November 29, 2004

(Indrew Caldwell

Page 6